

Q ARE COLLECTIONS REMITTED IN FULL TO HEADQUARTERS OR DO MEN CONVERT CHECKS AND FUNDS TO THEIR OWN ACCOUNT?

A Shreve for some time has been allowed to indorse checks and convert money to himself, remitting only 25% to the office. Thompson has been allowed to convert and retain funds.

Q ARE THERE UNFULFILLED OBLIGATIONS DUE BY FIELD MEN, FOR WHICH THEY HAVE ALREADY BEEN PAID, AND IF SO WHAT IS THE OSE LIABILITY THEREUNDER?

A It will probably cost \$1000 to prepare and print the 30,000 maps with the travel information intended, and to perform other service intended or promised the people. There is also a printing account for 10,000 booklets printed in connection with this work; these are so crude and ignorant, even the sponsors for this crudity deny their responsibility. It is not clear what of this service Shreve was to perform. Shreve has been overpaid his 7% commission and disclaims any further responsibility. The booklet, as printed, contains none of the travel information intended, nor anything to really justify the thousands of dollars given by the people. This travel information was to have been ready for the summer tourist movement.

Shreve was expected to mark the OSE where needed. Most of the marking had previously been done. Where inspected, Shreve's marking is not according to specifications and (like the booklet) is so careless it is a reproach to the organization. It will cost more to correct this marking than if no marking had been done by him.

Q WHAT IS THE TOTAL OF FUNDS COLLECTED FROM THE PEOPLE WITH THE PROMISE OF SERVICE TO BE RENDERED, WITH THE SERVICE STILL UNRENDERED? AND WHAT MONEY IS IN THE TREASURY OR AT COMMAND TO PERFORM THIS SERVICE?

A The San Antonio office has had, since January 1, 1922, over \$8500. On September 8, 1922 the bank balance was \$17 84. It will require \$4,000 to \$5,000 to pay existing neglected accounts, to re-check, prepare, print and issue properly the section maps with travel information promised, to correct improper marking, and to carry the office until some means are found to obtain new funds for this liability.

Q WHY DID THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AT EL PASO REFUSE TO SUPPORT OR INDORSE THE OSE FOR FUNDS?

A A so-called budget was submitted El Paso which was justly condemned. That "budget" was improper, unauthorized and not worthy the approval of thinking men.

COMMENT-----The conduct of the office and the service of the organization has degenerated into a happy-go-lucky state. Established policies, protective methods, adopted standards, etc., have been ignored. Members have not been protected by the service sought to be extended; on the contrary members of long standing, and loyal communities, have been discriminated against, and the spirit that builds loyalty in organizations has been cast aside, that men might put extra dollars in their pockets.